

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 10/24/03.

By: [Signature]

Printed: L. McDill

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Hillman et al.

Title: CALCIUM-BINDING PROTEIN

Serial No.: 09/768,840

Filing Date: January 23, 2001

Examiner: Rawlings, S.L.

Group Art Unit: 1642

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF LARS MICHAEL FURNESS

UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.132

I, L. MICHAEL FURNESS, a citizen of the United Kingdom, residing at 2 Brookside, Exning, Newmarket, United Kingdom, declare that:

1. I was employed by Incyte Genomics, Inc. (now known as Incyte Corporation; hereinafter "Incyte") as a Director of Pharmacogenomics until December 31, 2001. I am currently under contract to be a Consultant to Incyte Corporation.

2. In 1984, I received a B.Sc. (Hons) in Biomolecular Science (Biophysics and Biochemistry) from Portsmouth Polytechnic.

From 1985-1987 I was at the School of Pharmacy in London, United Kingdom, during which time I analyzed lipid methyltransferase enzymes using a variety of protein analysis

methods, including one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis, HPLC, and a variety of enzymatic assay systems.

I then worked in the Protein Structure group at the National Institute for Medical Research until 1989, setting up core facilities for nucleic acid synthesis and sequencing, as well as assisting in programs on protein kinase C inhibitors.

After a year at Perkin Elmer-Applied Biosystems as a technical specialist, I worked at the Imperial Cancer Research Fund between 1990-1992, on a Eureka-funded program collaborating with Amersham Pharmacia in the United Kingdom and CEPH (Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humaine) in Paris, France, to develop novel nucleic acid purification and characterization methods.

In 1992, I moved to Pfizer Central Research in the United Kingdom, where I stayed until 1998, initially setting up core DNA sequencing and then a DNA arraying facility for gene expression analysis in 1993. My work also included bioinformatics and I was responsible for the support of all Pfizer neuroscience programs in the United Kingdom. This then led me into carrying out detailed bioinformatics and wet lab work on the sodium channels, including antibody generation, Western and Northern analyses, PCR, tissue distribution studies, and sequence analyses on novel sequences identified.

In 1998, I moved to Incyte to work in the Pharmacogenomics group, looking at the application of genomics and proteomics to the pharmaceutical industry. In 1999, I was appointed Director of the LifeExpress Lead Program which used microarray and protein expression data to identify pharmacologically and toxicologically relevant mechanisms to assist in improved drug design and development.

On December 12, 2001, I founded Nuomics Consulting, Ltd., in Exning, UK, where I am currently employed as Managing Director. Nuomics Consulting, Ltd. provides expert technical knowledge and advice to businesses in the areas of genomics, proteomics, pharmacogenomics, toxicogenomics, and chemogenomics.

3. I have reviewed the specification of a United States patent application that I understand was filed on January 23, 2001 in the names of Jennifer Hillman et al. and was assigned Serial No. 09/768,840 (hereinafter "the Hillman '840 application"). Furthermore, I understand that this United States patent application was a divisional application of, and claimed

priority to, United States patent application Serial No. 09/206,499, filed on December 7, 1998 (hereinafter “the Hillman ‘499 application”), which was a divisional application of, and claimed priority to, United States patent application Serial No. 08/828,242, filed on March 31, 1997 (hereinafter “the Hillman ‘242 application”). The Hillman ‘840, Hillman ‘499, and Hillman ‘242 applications were filed with essentially identical specifications, with the exception of corrected typographical errors and reformatting. Thus page and line numbers may not match as between the Hillman ‘840, Hillman ‘499, and Hillman ‘242 applications. My remarks herein will therefore be directed to the Hillman ‘242 patent application, and March 31, 1997, as the relevant date of filing. In broad overview, the Hillman ‘242 specification pertains to certain nucleotide and amino acid sequences and their use in a number of applications, including gene and protein expression monitoring applications that are useful in connection with (a) developing drugs (e.g., for the treatment of disorders associated with cell proliferation), and (b) monitoring the activity of drugs for purposes related to evaluating their efficacy and toxicity.

4. I understand that (a) the Hillman ‘840 application contains claims that are directed to isolated antibodies which specifically bind to a polypeptide having the sequence shown as SEQ ID NO:1 (hereinafter “the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide”), and (b) the Patent Examiner has rejected those claims on the grounds that the specification of the Hillman ‘840 application does not disclose a specific, substantial, and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for polypeptides having the sequence shown as SEQ ID NO:1 (hereinafter “the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide”), which are specifically bound by the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide. I further understand that whether or not a patent specification discloses a specific, substantial, and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for its claimed subject matter is properly determined from the perspective of a person skilled in the art to which the specification pertains at the time the patent application was filed. In addition, I understand that a specific, substantial, and credible asserted utility or a well established utility under the patent laws must be a “real-world” utility.

5. I have been asked (a) to consider with a view to reaching a conclusion (or conclusions) as to whether or not I agree with the Patent Examiner’s position that the Hillman ‘840 application and its parents, the Hillman ‘499 and Hillman ‘242 applications, do not disclose

a specific, substantial, and credible “real-world” utility for the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide or, by extension, for the claimed antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and (b) to state and explain the bases for any conclusions I reach. I have been informed that, in connection with my considerations, I should determine whether or not a person skilled in the art to which the Hillman ‘242 application pertains on March 31, 1997, would have concluded that the Hillman ‘242 application disclosed, for the benefit of the public, a specific beneficial use of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide and, by extension, the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, in their then available and disclosed forms. I have also been informed that, with respect to the “real-world” utility requirement, the Patent and Trademark Office instructs its Patent Examiners in Section 2107.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, under the heading “I. ‘Real-World Value’ Requirement”:

“Many research tools such as gas chromatographs, screening assays, and nucleotide sequencing techniques have a clear, specific and unquestionable utility (e.g., they are useful in analyzing compounds). An assessment that focuses on whether an invention is useful only in a research setting thus does not address whether the specific invention is in fact ‘useful’ in a patent sense. Instead, Office personnel must distinguish between inventions that have a specifically identified utility and inventions whose specific utility requires further research to identify or reasonably confirm.”

6. I have considered the matters set forth in paragraph 5 of this Declaration and have concluded that, contrary to the position I understand the Patent Examiner has taken, the specification of the Hillman ‘242 patent application disclosed to a person skilled in the art at the time of its filing a number of specific, substantial, and credible real-world utilities for the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide and, by extension, the claimed antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide. More specifically, persons skilled in the art on March 31, 1997, would have understood the Hillman ‘242 application to disclose the use of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide as a research tool in a number of gene and protein expression monitoring applications that were well-known at that time to be useful in connection with the development of drugs and the monitoring of the activity of such drugs. I explain the bases for reaching my conclusion in this regard in paragraphs 7-13 below.

7. In reaching the conclusion stated in paragraph 6 of this Declaration, I considered (a) the specification of the Hillman '242 application, and (b) a number of published articles that evidence gene and protein expression monitoring techniques that were well-known before the March 31, 1997 filing date of the Hillman '242 application. The published articles I considered are:

(a) Anderson, N.L., Esquer-Blasco, R., Hofmann, J.-P., Anderson, N.G., A Two-Dimensional Gel Database of Rat Liver Proteins Useful in Gene Regulation and Drug Effects Studies, Electrophoresis, 12, 907-930 (1991) (hereinafter "the Anderson 1991 article") (copy annexed at Tab A);

(b) Anderson, N.L., Esquer-Blasco, R., Hofmann, J.-P., Mehues, L., Raymackers, J., Steiner, S., Witzmann, F., Anderson, N.G., An Updated Two-Dimensional Gel Database of Rat Liver Proteins Useful in Gene Regulation and Drug Effect Studies, Electrophoresis, 16, 1977-1991 (1995) (hereinafter "the Anderson 1995 article") (copy annexed at Tab B);

(c) Wilkins, M.R., Sanchez, J.-C., Gooley, A.A., Appel, R.D., Humphrey-Smith, I., Hochstrasser, D.F., Williams, K.L., Progress with Proteome Projects: Why all Proteins Expressed by a Genome Should be Identified and How To Do It, Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews, 13, 19-50 (1995) (hereinafter "the Wilkins article") (copy annexed at Tab C);

(d) Celis, J.E., Rasmussen, H.H., Leffers, H., Madsen, P., Honore, B., Gesser, B., Dejgaard, K., Vandekerckhove, J., Human Cellular Protein Patterns and their Link to Genome DNA Sequence Data: Usefulness of Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis and Microsequencing, FASEB Journal, 5, 2200-2208 (1991) (hereinafter "the Celis article") (copy annexed at Tab D);

(e) Franzen, B., Linder, S., Okuzawa, K., Kato, H., Auer, G., Nonenzymatic Extraction of Cells from Clinical Tumor Material for Analysis of Gene Expression by Two-Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis, Electrophoresis, 14, 1045-1053 (1993) (hereinafter "the Franzen article") (copy annexed at Tab E);

(f) Bjellqvist, B., Basse, B., Olsen, E., Celis, J.E., Reference Points for Comparisons of Two-Dimensional Maps of Proteins from Different Human Cell Types Defined in a pH Scale Where Isoelectric Points Correlate with Polypeptide Compositions, Electrophoresis, 15, 529-539 (1994) (hereinafter "the Bjellqvist article") (copy annexed at Tab F); and

(g) Large Scale Biology Company Info; LSB and LSP Information; from <http://www.lsb.com> (2001) (copy annexed at Tab G).

8. Many of the published articles I considered (i.e., at least items (a)-(f) identified in paragraph 7) relate to the development of protein two-dimensional gel electrophoretic techniques for use in gene and protein expression monitoring applications in drug development and toxicology. As I will discuss below, a person skilled in the art who read the Hillman '242 application on March 31, 1997 would have understood that application to disclose the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, to be useful for a number of gene and protein expression monitoring applications, e.g., in the use of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and western blot analysis of tissue samples in drug development and in toxicity testing.

Furthermore, items (a)-(f) establish that protein two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and western blot analysis were well-known and established methods routinely used in toxicology testing and drug development at the time of filing of the Hillman '242 application and for several years prior to March 31, 1997. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, could be used in toxicology testing and drug development, irrespective of its biological functions.

9. Turning more specifically to the Hillman '242 specification, the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide is shown at pages 47-50 as one of four sequences under the heading "Sequence Listing." The Hillman '242 specification specifically teaches that the "invention features a novel calcium-bind[ing] protein hereinafter designated HCBP . . . the invention features a substantially purified HCBP having the amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1" (Hillman '242 application at page 2, lines 6-9). It further teaches that (a) the identity of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide was determined from a "sigmoid mesentery tumor tissue cDNA library," (b) the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide is the calcium-binding protein referred to as "HCBP" and is encoded by SEQ ID NO:2, and (c) northern analysis shows that HCBP is expressed "in various cDNA libraries characterized by active cell proliferation, at least 75% of which are immortalized or

cancerous and 18% of which are fetal” (Hillman ‘242 application at page 2, lines 10-12 and 25-26; page 10, lines 13-24; page 11, lines 5-8; page 23, lines 7-8; and Figures 1A, 1B, and 1C).

The Hillman ‘242 application discusses a number of uses of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide in addition to its use in gene and protein expression monitoring applications. I have not fully evaluated these additional uses in connection with the preparation of this Declaration and do not express any views in this Declaration regarding whether or not the Hillman ‘242 specification discloses these additional uses to be specific, substantial, and credible real-world utilities of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide. Consequently, my discussion in this Declaration concerning the Hillman ‘242 application focuses on the portions of the application that relate to the use of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, in gene and protein expression monitoring applications.

10. The Hillman ‘242 application discloses that the polynucleotide sequences disclosed therein, including the polynucleotides encoding the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, are useful as probes in chip based technologies. It further teaches that the chip based technologies can be used “for the detection and/or quantification of nucleic acid or protein” (Hillman ‘242 application at page 21, lines 1-3).

The Hillman ‘242 application also discloses that the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide is useful in protein expression detection technologies. The Hillman ‘242 application states that “[a] variety of protocols for detecting and measuring the expression of HCBP, using either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies specific for the protein are known in the art. Examples include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), radioimmunoassay (RIA), and fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)” (Hillman ‘242 application at page 21, lines 12-15). Furthermore, the Hillman ‘242 application discloses that “[a] variety of protocols including ELISA, RIA, and FACS for measuring HCBP are known in the art and provide a basis for diagnosing altered or abnormal levels of HCBP expression. Normal or standard values for HCBP expression are established by combining body fluids or cell extracts taken from normal mammalian subjects, preferably human, with antibody to HCBP under conditions suitable for complex formation” (Hillman ‘242 application at page 32, lines 19-23).

In addition, at the time of filing of the Hillman ‘242 application, it was well known in the art that “gene” and protein expression analyses also included two-dimensional polyacrylamide

gel electrophoresis (2-D PAGE) technologies, which were developed during the 1980s, as exemplified by the Anderson 1991 and 1995 articles (Tab A and Tab B). The Anderson 1991 article teaches that a 2-D PAGE map has been used to connect and compare hundreds of 2-D gels of rat liver samples from a variety of studies including regulation of protein expression by various drugs and toxic agents (Tab A at page 907). The Anderson 1991 article teaches an empirically-determined standard curve fitted to a series of identified proteins based upon amino acid chain length, and how that standard curve can be used in protein expression analysis (Tab A at page 911). The Anderson 1991 article teaches that “there is a long-term need for a comprehensive database of liver proteins” (Tab A at page 912).

The Wilkins article is one of a number of documents that were published prior to the March 31, 1997 filing date of the Hillman ‘242 application that describes the use of the 2-D PAGE technology in a wide range of gene and protein expression monitoring applications, including monitoring and analyzing protein expression patterns in human cancer, human serum plasma proteins, and in rodent liver following exposure to toxins. In view of the Hillman ‘242 application, the Wilkins article, and other related pre-March 1997 publications, persons skilled in the art on March 31, 1997 clearly would have understood the Hillman ‘242 application to disclose the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, to be useful in 2-D PAGE analyses for the development of new drugs and for monitoring the activities of drugs for such purposes as evaluating their efficacy and toxicity, as explained more fully in paragraph 12 below.

With specific reference to toxicity evaluations, those of skill in the art who were working on drug development in March 1997 (and for many years prior to March 1997) without any doubt appreciated that the toxicity (or lack of toxicity) of any proposed drug they were working on was one of the most important criteria to be considered and evaluated in connection with the development of the drug. They would have understood at that time that good drugs are not only potent, they are specific. This means that they have strong effects on a specific biological target and minimal effects on all other biological targets. Ascertaining that a candidate drug affects its intended target, and identifying undesirable secondary effects (i.e., toxic side effects), had been for many years among the main challenges in developing new drugs. The ability to determine which genes are positively affected by a given drug, coupled with the ability to quickly and at the earliest time possible in the drug development process identify drugs that are likely to be toxic

because of their undesirable secondary effects, have enormous value in improving the efficiency of the drug discovery process, and are an important and essential part of the development of any new drug. In fact, the desire to identify and understand toxicological effects using the experimental assays described above led Dr. Leigh Anderson to found the Large Scale Biology Corporation in 1985, in order to pursue commercial development of the 2-D electrophoretic protein mapping technology he had developed. In addition, the company focused on toxicological effects on the proteome as clearly demonstrated by its goals and by its senior management credentials described in company documents (see Tab G at pages 1, 3, and 5).

Accordingly, the teachings in the Hillman '242 application, in particular regarding use of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, in differential gene and protein expression analysis (2-D PAGE maps) and in the development and the monitoring of the activities of drugs, clearly includes toxicity studies, and persons skilled in the art who read the Hillman '242 application on March 31, 1997 would have understood that to be so.

11. As previously discussed (paragraphs 7 and 8, *supra*), my experience with protein analysis methods in the mid-1980s and the several publications annexed to this Declaration at Tabs A through F evidence information that was available to the public regarding two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis technology and its uses in drug discovery and toxicology testing before the March 31, 1997 filing date of the Hillman '242 application. In particular the Celis article stated that "protein databases are expected to foster a variety of biological information. . . -- among others, . . . drug development and testing" (See Tab D, page 2200, second column). The Franzen article shows that 2-D PAGE maps were used to identify proteins in clinical tumor material (See Tab E). The Hillman '242 application discloses that expression of HCBP is associated with immortalized or cancerous tissue, fetal tissue, and tissue characterized by active cell proliferation (Hillman '242 application at page 10, lines 16-18; page 11, lines 5-8; and page 23, lines 7-8). The Bjellqvist article showed that a protein may be identified accurately by its positional coordinates, namely molecular mass and isoelectric point (See Tab F). The Hillman '242 application clearly disclosed SEQ ID NO:1 from which it would have been routine for one of skill in the art to predict both the molecular mass and the isoelectric point using algorithms well known in the art at the time of filing.

12. A person skilled in the art on March 31, 1997 who read the Hillman '242 application, would understand that application to disclose the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, to be highly useful in analysis of differential expression of proteins. For example, the specification of the Hillman '242 application would have led a person skilled in the art in March 1997, who was using protein expression monitoring in connection with developing new drugs for the treatment of disorders associated with cell proliferation, to conclude that a 2-D PAGE map that used the isolated SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would be a highly useful tool and to request specifically that any 2-D PAGE map that was being used for such purposes utilize the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide. Expressed proteins are useful for 2-D PAGE analysis in toxicology expression studies for a variety of reasons, particularly for purposes relating to providing controls for the 2-D PAGE analysis, and for identifying sequence or post-translational variants of the expressed sequences in response to exogenous compounds. Persons skilled in the art would appreciate that a 2-D PAGE map that utilized the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would be a more useful tool than a 2-D PAGE map that did not utilize this polypeptide in connection with conducting protein expression monitoring studies on proposed (or actual) drugs for treating disorders associated with cell proliferation, for such purposes as evaluating their efficacy and toxicity.

I discuss in more detail in items (a)-(b) below a number of reasons why a person skilled in the art, who read the Hillman '242 specification in March 1997, would have concluded based on that specification and the state of the art at that time, that the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would be a highly useful tool for analysis of a 2-D PAGE map for evaluating the efficacy and toxicity of proposed drugs for disorders associated with cell proliferation, by means of 2-D PAGE maps, as well as for other evaluations:

(a) The Hillman '242 specification contains a number of teachings that would lead persons skilled in the art on March 31, 1997 to conclude that a 2-D PAGE map that utilized the isolated SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would be a more useful tool for gene and protein expression monitoring applications relating to drugs for treating disorders associated with cell proliferation, than a 2-D PAGE map that did not use the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide. Among other things, the Hillman '242 specification teaches that

(i) the identity of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide was determined from a “sigmoid mesentery tumor tissue cDNA library,” (ii) the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide is the calcium-binding protein referred to as HCBP, and (iii) HCBP is expressed “in various cDNA libraries characterized by active cell proliferation, at least 75% of which are immortalized or cancerous and 18% of which are fetal” (Hillman ‘242 application at page 2, lines 25-26; page 10, lines 13-24; page 11, lines 5-8; page 23, lines 7-8; and Figures 1A, 1B, and 1C; see paragraph 9, *supra*). The isolated SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide could, therefore, be used as a control to more accurately gauge the expression of HCBP in a sample, and consequently more accurately gauge the effect of a toxicant on expression of the gene.

(b) Persons skilled in the art on March 31, 1997 would have appreciated (i) that the protein expression monitoring results obtained using a 2-D PAGE map that utilized the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would vary, depending on the particular drug being evaluated, and (ii) that such varying results would occur both with respect to the results obtained from the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide and from the 2-D PAGE map as a whole (including all its other individual proteins). These kinds of varying results, depending on the identity of the drug being tested, in no way detract from my conclusion that persons skilled in the art on March 31, 1997, having read the Hillman ‘242 specification, would specifically request that any 2-D PAGE map that was being used for conducting protein expression monitoring studies on drugs for treating disorders associated with cell proliferation (*e.g.*, a toxicology study or any efficacy study of the type that typically takes place in connection with the development of a drug), utilize the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide. Persons skilled in the art on March 31, 1997 would have wanted their 2-D PAGE map to utilize the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide because a 2-D PAGE map that utilized this polypeptide (as compared to one that did not) would provide more useful results in the kind of gene and protein expression monitoring studies using 2-D PAGE maps that persons skilled in the art have been doing since well prior to March 31, 1997.

The foregoing is not intended to be an all-inclusive explanation of all my reasons for reaching the conclusions stated in this paragraph 12, and in paragraph 6, *supra*. In my view, however, it provides more than sufficient reasons to justify my conclusions stated in paragraph 6 of this Declaration regarding the Hillman ‘242 application disclosing to persons skilled in the art at the time of its filing specific, substantial, and credible real-world utilities for the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, and by extension, the claimed antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide.

13. Also pertinent to my considerations underlying this Declaration is the fact that the Hillman '242 disclosure regarding the uses of the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide for protein expression monitoring applications is not limited to the use of this protein in 2-D PAGE maps. For one thing, the Hillman '242 disclosure regarding techniques used in gene and protein expression monitoring applications is broad (Hillman '242 application at, e.g., page 20, line 29 to page 22, line 3).

In addition, the Hillman '242 specification repeatedly teaches that the proteins described therein (including the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide) may desirably be used in any of a number of long established "standard" techniques, such as ELISA or western blot analysis, for conducting protein expression monitoring studies. See, e.g.:

(a) Hillman '242 application at page 21, lines 12-15 ("A variety of protocols for detecting and measuring the expression of HCBP, using either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies specific for the protein are known in the art. Examples include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), radioimmunoassay (RIA), and fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)"); and

(b) Hillman '242 application at page 32, lines 19-27 ("A variety of protocols including ELISA, RIA, and FACS for measuring HCBP are known in the art and provide a basis for diagnosing altered or abnormal levels of HCBP expression. Normal or standard values for HCBP expression are established by combining body fluids or cell extracts taken from normal mammalian subjects, preferably human, with antibody to HCBP under conditions suitable for complex formation[.] The amount of standard complex formation may be quantified by various methods, but preferably by photometric, means. Quantities of HCBP expressed in subject, control and disease, samples from biopsied tissues are compared with the standard values. Deviation between standard and subject values establishes the parameters for diagnosing disease").

Thus, a person skilled in the art on March 31, 1997, who read the Hillman '242 specification, would have routinely and readily appreciated that the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide, disclosed therein, would be useful for conducting gene and protein expression monitoring analyses using 2-D PAGE mapping or western blot analysis or any of the other traditional membrane-based protein expression monitoring techniques that were known and in common use

many years prior to the filing of the Hillman '242 application. For example, a person skilled in the art in March 1997 would have routinely and readily appreciated that the SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would be a useful tool in conducting gene and protein expression analyses, using the 2-D PAGE mapping or western analysis techniques, in furtherance of (a) the development of drugs for the treatment of disorders associated with cell proliferation, and (b) analyses of the efficacy and toxicity of such drugs. By extension, the antibody to a SEQ ID NO:1 polypeptide would be a useful tool in conducting gene and protein expression analyses for the development of drugs and the analysis of the efficacy and toxicity of such drugs.

D cket N .: PF-0261-2 DIV

14. I declare further that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made herein on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, and that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application and any patent issuing thereon.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. Michael Furness', is written over a horizontal line.

L. Michael Furness, B.Sc.

Signed at Exning, United Kingdom
this 17th day of October, 2003.